

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,506	07/08/2003	Shawn D. Stad	3518.1013-000	1774
21005	7590 06/22/2006		EXAM	INER
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			DAVIS, DANIEL J	
530 VIRGINI	A ROAD			
P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133		3733	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/616,506	STAD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	D. Jacob Davis	3733		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 17/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/1	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	3-25 is/are withdrawn from consid ected.	eration.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/04 12/4/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 207.
- II. Claims 24-25, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass 57.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used in a materially different method. For example, the mating component may be inserted parallel to the longitudinal axis of the receiving component.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: figures 2, 6, 8 and 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 22 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with Scott Pierce on March 20, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of apparatus claims 1-23 and the specie designated by figure 2. Applicant stated that claims 1-23 read on the elected figure 2. However, upon review of the claims and in light of the specification and the drawings, examiner determined that claims 5, 10, 11, 13, 19 and 23 do not read on the elected specie as indicated by figure 2. Claims 5, 13 and 19 are discussed in paragraphs 23, 25 and 3, respectively, as being alternative embodiments and do not characterize the embodiment illustrated in figure 2. Claims 10, 11 and 23 are directed to the embodiment illustrated in figures 8 and 10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5, 10, 11, 13, 19 and 23-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,506

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of claims 5, 13 and 19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Art Unit: 3733

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the planar surfaces" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 16-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims recite, "the receiving component is resisted by the receiving component independently from <u>any</u> resistive force applied by the locking mechanism." First, the locking member appears to apply a force perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the receiving member to retain the tip in position as illustrated in figure 5. As such, the locking member applies a friction force that resists longitudinal

Application/Control Number: 10/616,506

Art Unit: 3733

there is no direction along the longitudinal axis of the receiving component that is "away from the receiving component." For example, if in figure 3 a force is exerted on the tip in an upward direction on the page, then the force would be exerted toward the distal surfaces 76 of the receiving member from the reference point of surfaces 64 and 68. Hence, there can be no force applied to the tip along the longitudinal axis of the receiving component that is directed "away" from the receiving component.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 16-18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope of the claims cannot be determined. Is a force actually applied to the mating component or is this a hypothetical scenario? Furthermore, "Resisted by the receiving component independently from any resistive force applied by the locking mechanism" is unclear. What does "independently" mean? Does it mean that the locking mechanism exerts no force or that theoretically speaking the forces of the locking mechanism and the receiving component are taken in isolation? What is the "resistive force" applied by the locking mechanism?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,261,296 to Aebi et al. Aebi discloses in figure 7 and column 6, lines 45-62 a receiving component 14, a modular tip 44, and a locking mechanism 64 (including a biased ball and detent). The tip is inserted perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,173,215 to Shover. Shover discloses in figure 2 a receiving component 9, a modular tip 13, and a locking mechanism having a first member including the head and a second member including the shaft.

Art Unit: 3733

in figure 2 a receiving component 7, a modular tip 13 and a locking mechanism 14 and 26. The mating component 23 is coupled to the receiving component at element 22. Element 22 exerts a force on element 23 which is the direction of the longitudinal axis and away from the receiving component. The locking mechanism secures the tip along at least two surfaces 27 and its opposing surface on the tip. Respecting claim 23, the tip includes a mating component including surface 23 and the surfaces located within the receiving element, which are configured to engage the receiving component.

Respecting claims 12 and 21, the receiving component includes a recess (in which the tip 13 resides) and an opening (either the opening receiving the tip 13 or the opening wherein the locking member resides), which both form a connecting member.

The connecting member engages a recess in the mating component 11.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,506

Art Unit: 3733

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DID DID

EDUARDO C./ROBERT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER